Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/602,230	HUANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	George Suchfield	3676
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in the or other appropriate communic IGHTS. This application is subj	s application. If not included ation will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. A This communication is responsive to 7/7/05 Communication	<u>n</u> .	
2.  The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1,3-5,7-15</u> .		
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examine	r.	
<ul> <li>4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unalled All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have linternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>	been received. been received in Application N	lo
* Certified copies not received:		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.  5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	IENT of this application.  itted. Note the attached EXAMI as reason(s) why the oath or de	NER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers		PTO-948) attached
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date	, ,	
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or in	he Office action of
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t	.84(c)) should be written on the d he header according to 37 CFR 1	rawings in the front (not the back) of .121(d).
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERI FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLO	AL must be submitted. Note the GICAL MATERIAL.
	1	
Attachment(s)		
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Inform	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. 🔲 Interview Sumr	nary (PTO-413),
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date	Paper No./Mai 8), 7. ☐ Examiner's Am	
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. 🔲 Examiner's Sta	tement of Reasons for Allowance
of Biological Material	、9.	Horae Suchfield George Suchfield Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3676

١

Application/Control Number: 10/602,230 Page 2

Art Unit: 3672

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pye (3,709,300).

Pye (note col. 8, lines 27-43; col. 9, lines 28-65; and the figures) discloses a process of fracturing a subterranean formation wherein a first or initial spearhead fluid is injected to fracture the formation, wherein the first fluid includes a solid, particulate diverting agent which, in one embodiment, may comprise a solid dicarboxylic acid. The solid, particulate dicarboxylic acid diverting agent temporarily seals a portion of the fracture face, such that a second fluid or fracturing fluid containing propping agent is diverted deeper into the fracture and/or formation. Thus, intrusion of the second fluid into the near-wellbore portion of the formation penetrated by the fracture "is at least partially inhibited", as called for in claim 1.

As per claim 2, note that Pye (col. 9, line 66 – col. 10, line 9) may further employ a solvent, such as an alcohol, to facilitate removal of the solid dicarboxylic acid diverting agent.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/602,230

Art Unit: 3672

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 3-6, 8-11, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pye (3,709,300).

In carrying out the fracturing-diverting process of Pye, the particular operating ranges and diverting agent characteristics recited in claims 2-6, 8-11 and 13 are deemed obvious matter of choice or design, based on routine experimentation for process optimization and/or the characteristics and properties of the oil formation actually encountered in the field. Moreover, Pye discloses their dicarboxylic acid component should preferably have a melting point greater than 130oF, and further includes a mesh size of up to 50 microns. Also set forth is an exemplary concentration of the solid dicarboxylic acid in the first fluid of 8-150 pounds per 1,000 gals. Thus, to further refine or tailor such operating ranges to the particular subterranean formation under treatment would fall within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art.

6. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Knox (3,374,835).

Art Unit: 3672

Knox (note col. 1, line 60 – col. 2, line 7; col. 2, line 29 – col. 3, line 48) discloses the injection of an oil-based pre-flush fluid comprising a solid particulate dicarboxylic acid as a fluid loss or diverting agent, followed by a second treatment fluid, such as an acid, which is then diverted into a less-permeable zone(s), as called for in claim 1.

As per claim 2, insofar as Knox employs myriad dicarboxylic acids, as set forth in col. 3, lines 8-36, it is deemed that at least one or more of such dicarboxylic acids will inherently possess the recited solubility characteristics.

7. Claims 3-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Knox (3,374,835).

The particular operating ranges and diverting agent characteristics recited in claims 3-13 appear encompassed by the corresponding ranges and characteristics of Knox, e.g., note that Knox may employ a dicarboxylic acid diverting agent having a melting point "greater than 100oC" and the mesh size range in col. 3. Alternatively, to operate the process of Knox within such operating ranges would have been an obvious matters of choice or design, based on routine experimentation for process optimization and/or the characteristics and properties of the oil formation actually encountered in the field.

Similarly, the particular dicarboxylic acids recited in claim 7 appear encompassed, overall, by the myriad dicarboxylic acids set forth in col. 3, lines 8-36. Alternatively, to choose a dodecanedioic acid, undecanedioic acid and/or decanedioic acid in lieu of the "heptane dioic acid" of Knox (col. 3, line 14) would have been an obvious matter of choice or design based on, e.g., relative availability and/or cost effectiveness, insofar as such similar dicarboxylic acids would be expected to exhibit similar characteristics and properties.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,230

Art Unit: 3672

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Other references cited disclose well treatment processes employing diverting agents in

Page 5

one or more treating fluids and use of dicarboxylic acid components in well treatment fluids and

processes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to George Suchfield whose telephone number is 703-308-2152. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30 - 3:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on 703-308-2151. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George Suchfield

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3672

Gs

April 7, 2005